COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CHARLES COUNTY, MARYLAND

2004 Legislative Session
Legislative Day # 5

BILL NO. <u>2004- 03</u>

Introduced by: Charles County Commissioners

AN ACT concerning

Architectural/Engineering Services

Date introduced: <u>04/13/2004</u>
Public Hearing05/03/2004
Commissioners Action: <u>05/03/2004</u> <u>Enact</u>
Commissioner Votes: WC: Yes , RF: Yes , ML: Yes , DM: Yes , AS: Yes
Pass/Fail: Pass
Effective Date: 06/16/2004 at 12:01 a.m.
Remarks:

AMENDMENTS

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CHARLES COUNTY, MARYLAND

2004 Legislative Session
Bill No. 2004 -
Chapter. No. <u>153</u>
Introduced by
Date of Introduction
BILL
AN ACT concerning
ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING SERVICES
FOR the purpose of Amending Section 5 to provide more flexibility in the selection of Architects and Engineers.
BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments: CHAPTER 153, SECTION 5 ('C) (4) (A), CODE OF CHARLES COUNTY, MARYLAND (1994 EDITION, 2000 SUPPLEMENT).
SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF
CHARLES COUNTY, MARYLAND, that the Laws of Charles County, Maryland read as
follows:
CHAPTER 153

2					
3	A.	FEE/EXPERIENCE/QUALIFICATIONS BASED SELECTION: THIS IS THE			
4		PROCESS WHICH SHALL BE UTILIZED FOR MOST PROJECTS THAT REQUIRE			
5		ENGINEERING/ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES. PROPOSALS FOR PROJECTS IN			
6		WHICH THE SCOPE OF WORK CAN BE WELL DEFINED SHALL REQUEST A			
7		FEE IN THE FORM OF A SPECIFIC LUMP SUM AMOUNT. FOR THOSE			
8		PROJECTS IN WHICH THE SCOPE OF WORK CANNOT BE DEFINED			
9		PRECISELY ENOUGH TO REQUEST A LUMP SUM FEE, THE TYPES OF FEE(S)			
10		REQUESTED FOR THESE PROJECTS MAY VARY AND MAY BE IN THE FORM			
11		OF HOURLY RATES, MAXIMUM OR ESTIMATED TOTAL FEES, OR			
12		COMBINATIONS THEREOF. RECOGNIZING THAT DIFFERENT PROJECTS			
13		WILL HAVE DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTICS, THE PARTICULAR PROCESS			
14		AND CRITERIA USED TO EVALUATE PROPOSALS WILL VARY FROM			
15		PROJECT TO PROJECT. THE COMMITTEE SHALL DEVELOP A PROCESS			
16		AND/OR RATING SYSTEM FOR EACH PROJECT, USING THE CRITERIA IN 153			
17		6.B AS A BASIS FOR AWARD RECOMMENDATION. MEMBERS SHALL			
18		COMPLETE INDIVIDUAL RATING FORMS. THERE ARE TWO SEPARATE			
19		CATEGORIES OF PROJECTS, CORE AND NON-CORE, UNDERWHICH ANY			
20		GIVEN PROJECT MAY BE CLASSIFIED AND WHICH ARE EXPLAINED BELOW			
21					
22		(1) CORE PROJECTS			
23		FOR THE FOLLOWING SPECIFIC PROJECTS, 50% OF THE SELECTION			
24		CRITERIA SHALL BE ASSIGNED TO FEE AND *50% TO			
25		QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE:			
26		• WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT			
27		• DREDGING			
28		• LANDFILLS			
29		 ARTERIAL OR HIGHER CLASSIFICATION ROADS 			

SECTION 153-5 C-4A - ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING SERVICES

1		ROADS REQUIRING SHA PERMIT			
2		• BRIDGES			
3		• TRAFFIC SIGNALS			
4		• LARGE INTERCEPTOR SEWER OR TRANSMISSION WATER			
5		MAINS (REQUIRE MDE CONSTRUCTION PERMIT)			
6		• PRODUCTION WELLS			
7		• WATER STORAGE FACILITIES			
8		• STUDIES (COMPREHENSIVE PLANS, FEASIBILITY STUDIES)			
9		• FIRE/RESCUE/POLICE/CORRECTIONAL/JUDICIAL/			
10		COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES			
11	*NO	TE: THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESERVES THE RIGHT			
12	TO P	TO PERIODICALLY AMEND, VIA RESOLUTIONS, THE EVALUATION			
13	PERO	CENTAGES FOR FEE AND QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE.			
14					
15	(2)	NON-COVE PROJECTS			
16		NON-COVE PROJECTS ARE PROJECTS WHICH, BY THEIR NATURE,			
17		ARE LESS COMPLEX AND CRITICAL THAN COVE PROJECTS AND			
18		WHICH WOULD INCLUDE SUCH PROJECTS AS ORDINARY VERTICAL			
19		CONTRACTION, WATER AND SEWER MAINS, COLLECTOR AND			
20		LOWER CLASSIFICATION ROADS, STORM DRAINAGE AND			
21		STORMWATER MANAGEMENT, PETITION PROJECTS (ROADS,			
22		WATER/SEWER, STORM DRAINAGE, ETC.) PARKS, ETC. GIVEN THEIR			
23		NATURE, THESE ARE PROJECTS WHICH DEMAND LESS EMPHASIS ON			
24		EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS AND MORE EMPHASIS ON FEE			
25		THAN CORE PROJECTS IN THE SELECTION PROCESS. A SLIDING			
26		SCALE RATING SYSTEM WILL BE USED FOR THOSE PROJECTS AND			
27		INCLUDE "HIGH, MEDIUM AND LOW" CATEGORIES WHICH ARE			
28		DEFINED BELOW. THE RATING OF A SPECIFIC PROJECT (HIGH,			
29		MEDIUM, LOW) SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE PSSC MEMBERS			

1		DUR	ING THEIR REVIEW OF THE PROPOSAL PACKAGE PRIOR TO
2		SOLI	CITATION. TO CRITERIA TO BE USED IN DETERMINING A
3		PROJ	ECT'S SPECIFIC CATEGORY SHALL INCLUDE, BUT NOT BE
4		LIMI	TED TO, SCHEDULE, IMPACT TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC (UTILITY
5		RELO	OCATION, MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC, NUMBER OF APPROVING
6		AGE	NCIES, BUSINESSES), NIGHT WORK, CLOSURES, DEVELOPED AS
7	UNDEVELOPED PROPERTIES, NUMBER OF ARCHITECTURAL AND		
8		ENG	NEERING DISCIPLINES INVOLVED WITH THE DESIGN, FUNDING
9		SOUI	RCES, SAFETY ISSUES, PUBLIC NEED, CONSENT ORDERS, EDC
10	INITIATIVES, USER NEEDS, LIABILITY ISSUES, AND OTHERS.		
11			
12		RATING CA	TEGORIES
13		CLASSIFICA	ATION *SELECTION CRITERIA
13 14		CLASSIFICA HIGH	*SELECTION CRITERIA 60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE
14		HIGH	60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE
14 15		HIGH MEDIUM	60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 65% FEE/35% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE
14 15 16		HIGH MEDIUM	60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 65% FEE/35% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE
14 15 16 17		HIGH MEDIUM LOW	60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 65% FEE/35% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 70% FEE/30% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE
14 15 16 17 18		HIGH MEDIUM LOW	60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 65% FEE/35% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 70% FEE/30% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESERVES THE
14 15 16 17 18 19		HIGH MEDIUM LOW	60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 65% FEE/35% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 70% FEE/30% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PERIODICALLY AMEND, VIA RESOLUTION, THE
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	X	HIGH MEDIUM LOW	60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 65% FEE/35% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 70% FEE/30% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PERIODICALLY AMEND, VIA RESOLUTION, THE EVALUATION PERCENTAGES FOR FEE AND
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	X X	HIGH MEDIUM LOW *NOTE:	60% FEE/40% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 65% FEE/35% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE 70% FEE/30% QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PERIODICALLY AMEND, VIA RESOLUTION, THE EVALUATION PERCENTAGES FOR FEE AND